

COMMUNITY CONSULTATIVE FORUM

WEDNESDAY 28 SEPTEMBER 2005 7.30 PM

PANEL AGENDA (CONSULTATIVE FORUM)

COMMITTEE ROOMS 1&2
HARROW CIVIC CENTRE

MEMBERSHIP (Quorum 3)

Chair: Councillor Nana Asante

Councillors:

Currie (VC) Dharmarajah Lavingia

Arnold Janet Cowan Anjana Patel

Reserve Members:

Bluston
 Gate

Harriss
 Kara

3. Burchell

3. Mrs Champagnie

4. N Shah

Issued by the Democratic Services Section, Legal Services Department

Contact: Laura Kell, Committee Administrator

Tel: 020 8424 1265 E-mail: laura.kell@harrow.gov.uk

NOTE FOR THOSE ATTENDING THE MEETING: IF YOU WISH TO DISPOSE OF THIS AGENDA, PLEASE LEAVE IT BEHIND AFTER THE MEETING. IT WILL BE COLLECTED FOR RECYCLING.

HARROW COUNCIL

COMMUNITY CONSULTATIVE FORUM

WEDNESDAY 28 SEPTEMBER 2005

THEME:

The Community Speaks!

Representatives from Groups covering different areas of the Voluntary Sector in Harrow have been invited to speak out on the **issues that are important to them**.

AGENDA - PART I

1. Attendance by Reserve Members:

To note the attendance at this meeting of any duly appointed Reserve Members.

Reserve Members may attend meetings:-

- (i) to take the place of an ordinary Member for whom they are a reserve;
- (ii) where the ordinary Member will be absent for the whole of the meeting; and
- (iii) after notifying the Chair at the start of the meeting.

2. **Declarations of Interest:**

To receive declarations of personal or prejudicial interests, arising from business to be transacted at this meeting, from all Members present.

3. Arrangement of Agenda:

To consider whether any of the items listed on the agenda should be considered with the press and public excluded on the grounds that it is thought likely, in view of the nature of the business to be transacted, that there would be disclosure of confidential information in breach of an obligation of confidence or of exempt information as defined in the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985.

Enc. 4. Minutes:

That the minutes of the meeting held on 29 June 2005, having been circulated, be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

5. Public Questions:

To receive questions (if any) from local residents or organisations under the provisions of Advisory Panel and Consultative Forum Procedure Rule 15 (Part 4E of the Constitution).

6. **Petitions:**

To receive petitions (if any) submitted by members of the public/Councillors under the provisions of Advisory Panel and Consultative Forum Procedure Rule 13 (Part 4E of the Constitution).

7. **Deputations:**

To receive deputations (if any) under the provisions of Advisory Panel and Consultative Forum Procedure Rule 14 (Part 4E of the Constitution).

8. **Update on Community Engagement:**

Verbal update on the Community Engagement Strategy.

9. **Presentations from Community Groups:**

a. Moji Sorunke (IJAPA)

Moji will be present to talk about the pressures faced by new and emerging groups in Harrow.

b. <u>Mike Coker</u> (HAVS, Harrow Healthy Living Centre, Carers Support Harrow, Community Link-Up)

Mike has worked closely with a wide range of organisations in Harrow, and will be speaking to the meeting about his experiences.

10. Any Other Business:

Which the Chair has decided is urgent and cannot otherwise be dealt with.

AGENDA - PART II - NIL



ADVISORY AND CONSULTATIVE

COMMUNITY CONSULTATIVE FORUM

29 JUNE 2005

Dharmarajah

Chair: * Councillor Nana Asante

Councillors: * Mrs Champagnie (3)

Janet Cowan * Lavingia * Currie * Anjana Patel

Denotes Member present

(3) Denotes category of Reserve Member

[NB Attendance at this meeting by representatives of community organisations and representatives of the Local Authority is recorded at Appendix 1].

PART I - RECOMMENDATIONS - NIL

PART II - MINUTES

148. **Appointment of Chair:**

RESOLVED: To note the appointment, at the Cabinet meeting on 19 May 2005, of Councillor Nana Asante as Chair of the Forum for the 2005/06 Municipal Year.

149. Attendance by Reserve Members:

RESOLVED: To note the attendance at this meeting of the following duly appointed Reserve Members:-

Ordinary Member Reserve Member

Councillor Arnold Councillor Mrs Champagnie

150. **Declarations of Interest:**

RESOLVED: To note that there were no declarations of personal or prejudicial interests made by Members of the Forum arising from the business transacted at this meeting.

151. Arrangement of Agenda:

RESOLVED: That all items be considered with the press and public present.

152. **Appointment of Vice-Chair:**

Nominations were received and seconded for Councillor Currie and Councillor Janet Cowan. Upon being put to the vote, it was

RESOLVED: To appoint Councillor Currie as Vice-Chair of the Forum for the 2005/06 Municipal Year.

153. Minutes:

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting held on 12 April 2005, having been circulated, be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

154. Public Questions:

RESOLVED: To note that no public questions were received at this meeting under the provisions of Advisory Panel and Consultative Forum Procedure Rule 15 (Part 4E of the Constitution).

155. **Petitions:**

RESOLVED: To note that no petitions were received at this meeting under the provisions of the Advisory Panel and Consultative Forum Procedure Rule 13 (Part 4E of the Constitution).

156. **Deputations:**

RESOLVED: To note that no deputations were received at this meeting under the provisions of Advisory Panel and Consultative Forum Procedure Rule 14 (Part 4E of the Constitution).

1

157. Presentations by Community Groups:

The Forum received a presentation from Harrow Mencap, an organisation that worked with local people with learning disabilities to ensure that their needs, rights and aspirations were met. Harrow Mencap had been invited to the Forum to discuss their experience of involvement with the Council.

Two Harrow Mencap service users were introduced to the Forum. The first service user described the last time he had been to the Civic Centre and said that the staff had listened to him and answered all his questions. He needed people to speak clearly and take their time when speaking to him. The second service user explained that he needed support in reading and writing, and liked it when there were pictures with writing to help him understand.

The new Head of the Community Team at Mencap outlined problems with community engagement identified by the staff at Mencap and the people they supported. Each problem was presented with a possible solution, as outlined below:

Problem

People with Learning Disabilities found it difficult to approach any department in the Civic Centre without support

- The Council was not pro-active in its approach – it rarely asked what could be done for Harrow Mencap's members – Mencap had to ask them
- Information was difficult to understand – needed simpler language and more pictures
- The Council lacked understanding of needs associated with ethnicity – for example religious and dietary issues – when dealing with people with learning disabilities
- The Council was not open and honest about how they reached decisions – eg replacements/housing
- Physical access to the Civic Centre (possible problem identified)

Possible Solution

Harrow Mencap could provide Learning Disability awareness training to Council staff

The Council could consult – specifically targeting those with any disability – using a variety of methods to ensure inclusion. Harrow Mencap would willingly support any such initiative

Ask a person with a Learning Disability to help when information was being designed

Mencap felt an improvement had been seen in this area – Harrow Mencap's Advocacy service had helped people to voice their needs to the Council

Clearer explanations would help – Harrow Mencap was willing to help

Mencap were not aware of any complaints about accessing the Civic Centre. Most areas appeared to be accessible but sign-posting could be a little clearer

The Head of the Community Team identified three key areas that the Council needed to address with regards to successful community engagement:

- 1. **Communication** it was emphasised that effective communication with people with learning difficulties required a little more time and effort.
- 2. **Equal opportunities employment** Mencap encouraged the Council to employ people with learning difficulties.
- Partnership partnership working was identified as crucial for successful engagement.

The meeting was informed that Harrow Mencap's Annual Fun Day would be held on Saturday 2 July 2005 from 11.00 am – 4.00 pm at St Peter's Church on Sumner Road.

RESOLVED: That the above be noted and included in the scrutiny review of community engagement.

158. Presentation from the Scrutiny Unit:

The Forum received a presentation from an officer working within the Scrutiny Unit at Harrow Council. The presentation aimed to clarify the role of scrutiny within the Council.

The meeting was informed that scrutiny was a relatively new function of local government. Its main aim was to improve how the Council worked and the services it provided, and it achieved this through several Councillor-led committees and subcommittees. Each committee or sub-committee agreed its annual work programme in May. The work programme could cover issues of strategic or corporate importance, or could address issues of public interest or national priority. The meeting was informed that an important role of scrutiny was to give a voice to, and reflect the concerns of, members of the public and local communities. It was added that much of the work of scrutiny was completed outside of formal committees, through conducting project work and reviews. Examples of recent outcomes from scrutiny work were given, including:

- Budget processes and consultation recommendations had been made to radically change the way that budget information would be presented to local residents;
- Funding arrangements for special educational needs (SEN) in schools improvements had been made to the monitoring and accountability arrangements for the LEA monitoring of schools' use of SEN funding;
- Cancer services at Mount Vernon Hospital the Health Bodies had undertaken to introduce a strategy for cancer services in North West London.

Officers highlighted the scrutiny review of community engagement, a current review that aimed to improve the way that the Council involved people in its activities and services. The meeting was informed that the scrutiny unit were increasingly looking to co-opt community representatives onto review groups, and interested parties were requested to contact the scrutiny unit.

RESOLVED: That the above be noted.

159. Workshop on Community Engagement:

The Chair explained that the next portion of the meeting would comprise a workshop on community engagement, one of the current scrutiny reviews.

At the beginning of the meeting, community representatives and elected Members had been asked to write down their experience of good and poor engagement with the Council, and to identify why that experience had been good or poor.

Community representatives and elected members were then encouraged to write down their ideas for how the Council could improve the way they engage with people in the community. These ideas were then grouped into different categories, and representatives were asked to prioritise the categories identified.

The priorities emerging from each group were as follows:

- 1. **COMMUNICATION** groups identified the importance of establishing strong partnership with local community groups and maintaining effective communication between the Council and the community. Alternative forms of media that could be used for engagement were also suggested, such as text messages and community television.
- 2. **ACTING ON VIEWS** it was emphasised that it was important for the Council to act on the views received in consultation exercises, and to report back to participants to inform them of what action was being taken.
- 3. **ATTITUDE** it was identified that Council staff needed to have respect and patience when dealing with members of the community.
- ACTION groups emphasised that it was important for the Council to take action on issues.
- 5. **DIVERSITY** emphasis was placed on the need for the Council to address diversity in the borough. The need to translate information into multiple languages

although representatives that effective was suggested, emphasised communication would require other strategies as well.

That the above be noted and included in the scrutiny review of community engagement.

[Note: The full results from the introductory session and the workshop are displayed at Appendices 2 and 3 to the minutes, respectively].

160.

Community Concerns:

No community concerns were submitted to the meeting. The Chair requested that groups submit any items in advance of the meeting, to allow officers and Councillors to consider them and then address the issue more thoroughly at the meeting.

RESOLVED: That the above be noted.

161. **Any Other Business:**

Meeting Young People within the Community
The Chair forwarded an invitation from Councillor Bill Stephenson to attend an event at Harrow Leisure centre, to meet young people and hear their concerns.

Pan-London Basketball Competition

The Chair informed the meeting that the Pan-London Basketball competition would be taking place at Harrow High School on 6 August 2005 at 4pm.

Harrow Leisure Centre

The Forum was referred to the information circular for the meeting, which contained the Council's response to a letter of complaint from a community group regarding the condition of the Harrow Leisure Centre.

(Note: The meeting having commenced at 7.35 pm, closed at 9.25 pm)

(Signed) COUNCILLOR NANA ASANTE

APPENDIX 1

COMMUNITY CONSULTATIVE FORUM – 29 JUNE 2005

(1) Representatives of Community Organisations who Attended

Please note that only those organisations who provided their details to the administrator will be recorded in the register.

Bashir Rattausey K.S.I.M.C. Islamic Centre Mohammad Rizvi Pakistan Society of Harrow

Ms. Serwah BTWSC

Vinod Lodhia Sarvedesic Satya Samaj

Jess Saida African Family Organisation (AFO)
Syed Alam Harrow Bengalee Association
Alex da Costa The Harrow Refugee Forum

A. Fernandes ACCA-Palop
Doreen Luff Harrow Mencap
Deven Pillay " "

(2) Officers who Attended

Bindu Arjoon Service Manager, Policy and Performance

Frances Hawkins Scrutiny Officer

Ekua Boateng Assistant Review Administrator
Jenny Aulin Community Support Officer

APPENDIX 2

Results from the Introductory Exercise on Community Engagement

Please note that the comments recorded were submitted by community representatives and Councillors present at the meeting of the Community Consultative Forum on 29 June 2005.

(1) Examples of Good Involvement and reason why it was good

Positive dealings when booking Council Chamber
 Efficient and courteous response

Councillors are accessible Understanding of community issues and

need for involvement

• Involved in lots of areas People are good

Talking People can listen

• More information needed Efficient

• People listen to me A woman asked my name (Charles)

(2) Examples of Poor Engagement and reason why it was good

Grants Panel: poor Did not appear to be communication between communication within grant officers and Connexions/Youth Service Council

Lack of accessible Continues to marginalise groups and information individuals (eg people with learning difficulties)

• Communications Staff sometimes rude

• Lack of Consultation with No face to face consultation grass root level organisations

APPENDIX 3

Results from the Workshop on Community Engagement

Please note that the comments recorded were submitted by community representatives and Councillors present at the meeting of the Community Consultative Forum on 29 June 2005. They were assigned a rating based on how important they were considered by those present.

(1) <u>Group A</u>:

COMMUNICATION Accessible information – available in large font and with more pictures and simplified wording Quick responses – requested within seven days Good communication requires good listening and good understanding Better contact with community groups and individuals	Rating: 9 points
The need for the Council to take action on issues eg more cameras on buses to prevent people from feeling intimidated	5 points
ATTITUDESStaff should be patient with everybodyNeed for respect	4 points
REPRESENTATION Representation needed from umbrella organisations at decision-making bodies Extra community involvement in the committees	3 points
PARTNERSHIP • All departments need to work together	2 points
 CONSULTATION Face-to-face consultation required Ask people what they want from the Council Importance of listening 	1 point

(2) <u>Group B</u>:

COMMUNICATION	Rating: 6 points
Make the committee structure more user-friendly	
Record answers to public questions	
Have clearer notices in plain English	
 Local issues to be well-publicised and feedback encouraged 	
Council services to be widely publicised	
Clearer reports in plain English	
Listen more	
Different forms of communication, such as text messages, community TV/radio/website	
Community involvement would help both parties	
ACTING ON VIEWS	5 points
We do a lot of consultation – do we act on it?	
Take views into account	

DIVERSITY		Rating: 3 points	
•	Celebration of religious festivals		
•	Multiple language translations		
FACILITIES		3 points	
•	Harrow Leisure Centre needs improvement for the		
١.	community Hall/community centre to be used by community groups		
	at special rates		
ST	RATEGIC PLANNING	2 points	
•	Better at planning (strategic and operational)		
•	Evidence based decisions		
CC	DUNCIL ACCESS	2 points	
•	Officers/councillors should be easily contactable		
•	Invite public to approach Council		
BL	ACK AND MINORITY ETHNIC GROUPS	2 points	
•	Councillors should make an effort to meet BME groups regularly		
ST	RUCTURE/DEMOCRATIC PROCESS	1 point	
•	Clarity of decision-making process		
ON	IE-TO-ONE	1 point	
•	Involve community groups on a one-to-one basis		
CC	ONTRACT MONITORING		
•	Do the Council follow up the work that is carried out by		
	the contractors they employ?		
IN	INCLUSION		
•	Disabled people can pre-arrange to meet officers, at their convenience		